

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Pender
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1287450
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Pender
<b>Given Name</b>	John
<b>Person ID</b>	1287450
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I am not qualified to comment on the legality of these proposals but I object to them for a number of reasons.</p> <p>The plans are very vague and do not give enough detail about what will be built.</p> <p>Local services are already overstretched and building a further 2,350 houses will only increase pressure on services such as GP surgeries, dentists, schools, social care, and that is just the main ones. I'm sure there are more that I have not mentioned. Supporters of this scheme often cite the extra council tax revenue as a benefit but they fail to acknowledge that with that, comes increased demand on services and the associated increased costs.</p> <p>Building GGGV will surely increase the local population by anything from 4,000-10,000. There is no mention in the plans of any increase in provision of services to accomodate these people. Just as one example, when I recently looked at Alder Community High School, there were 3 applications for every place at the school.</p> <p>The increase in local population created by GGGV will also cause a massive increase in the number of cars on our roads. This will increase congestion and journey times, but more importantly it will have a detrimental effect on public health. The increase in pollution caused by these extra cars will be very damaging to local air quality. Many of the roads that will be used by residents of GGGV are very narrow, with narrow pavements (Stockport Road A560 through Gee Cross being one example), and this will only exacerbate the problem. The increase in motor vehicles may also bring an increase in accidents resulting in further casualties and fatalities, again putting further strain on local services.</p> <p>The loss of natural habitat will also be devastating. Building GGGV will result in a loss of wildlife and biodiversity. This has a negative impact on our environment and is contrary to efforts to reduce climate change. The area</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>also soaks up rain water and turning the site into an urban sprawl will surely increase the risk of flooding.</p> <p>The loss of the green belt will also be damaging to the semi rural character of the area. It will result in a loss of jobs in the rural economy, will deprive people of leisure opportunities in the great outdoors.</p> <p>Please do not proceed with these plans.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Do not proceed with these plans</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Pender</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>John</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1287450</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>JPA 32: South of Hyde</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>I object to the destruction of the green belt and the loss of natural habitat</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Please do not proceed with these plans</p>